

# DUE: MONDAY, DECEMBER 7

## Peer Rating of Team Members<sup>†</sup>

Your Name \_\_\_\_\_ Your Team \_\_\_\_\_

Please write the names of all of your team members, INCLUDING YOURSELF, and rate the degree to which each member fulfilled his/her responsibilities in completing the team assignments. *DO NOT LEAVE ANY COMMENTARY BLANK!* Place this form in a sealed envelope, with your team name/number on the outside, and give it to your instructor. The possible ratings are as follows:

- Excellent (100%):** Consistently carried more than his/her fair share of the workload.
- Very good (90%):** Consistently did what he/she was supposed to do, very well prepared and cooperative.
- Satisfactory (80%):** Usually did what he/she was supposed to do, acceptably prepared and cooperative.
- Ordinary (70%):** Often did what he/she was supposed to do, minimally prepared and cooperative.
- Marginal (75%):** Sometimes failed to show up or complete assignments, rarely prepared.
- Deficient (65%):** Often failed to show up or complete assignments, rarely prepared.
- Unsatisfactory (60%):** Consistently failed to show up or complete assignments, unprepared.
- Superficial (50%):** Practically no participation.
- No show (0%):** No participation at all.

*These ratings should reflect each individual's level of participation and effort and sense of responsibility, not his or her academic ability.*

| Name of team member | Rating | Commentary (DO NOT LEAVE BLANK!) |
|---------------------|--------|----------------------------------|
| _____               | _____  | _____<br>_____<br>_____          |
| _____               | _____  | _____<br>_____<br>_____          |
| _____               | _____  | _____<br>_____<br>_____          |
| _____               | _____  | _____<br>_____<br>_____          |

Your Signature \_\_\_\_\_

<sup>†</sup>Adapted from R. M. Felder & R. Brent, *Effective Teaching*, North Carolina State University, 2000. Percentage Scores added by M. Medina